

My question: Did you ~~would~~ welcome R shift to your
policy? Would you welcome Chinese shift to your position.
(Right) Does an dialogue with my hope R would self
back - (and Pak): "yes." "Our formula is best basis for
consensus" [with China?] (see?)

Shoup: Unilateral states should declare themselves - they can't go now-
one without acknowledging that they are nuclear [? or reverse?] other for would
CTB outweighs importance of safety treaty - an D will

1000-1500 wars and can beyond

They say, "Why can't you go further?"
We don't think you will have that reaction;
they will find this all very hard.

[How is def. last resort, US leadership?
US had Russia away from NEU due -;
do you was this good? (Did this article
contribute? Would they have violated it to?/
would they like China to do so?

Why \$30B/yr on war structure with as around every
case?;
(Many should be spent to reduce one degree Cost of
enduring threats)...
on de-targeting: Crowd: We have built very
complex to achieve our control

Wish Gordon: How would you explain to Ukraine
why US should treat "this" much differently from Israel's -

Shoup: Bush says "A global NP regime is more important
than safety treaty." How a CTB contributes to that is a question.
and a CTB is more important than moratorium; [that is book!]
moratoria come not go...

Clinton is doing better.
McC: I took a year to tell the American people there was no missile gap.